

DFW

PTO/SB/21 (04-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Project of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/699,026
Filing Date	11/03/2003
First Named Inventor	Steven G. Mathena
Art Unit	3676
Examiner Name	L.A. Gall
Attorney Docket Number	

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

8

### ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		

### SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Steven G. Mathena
Signature	X <i>Mr. Mathena</i>
Date	X 9-24-04


### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name			
Signature		Date	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## Response to First Office Action

Application Ser. No. 10/699,026

This communication is a response to a first Office action having a mailing date of 07/30/2004 and setting forth a statutory period response of three months which would expire on 10/30/2004.

### Remarks

The applicant has studied the examiner's detailed action carefully and will address the objections to the disclosure first by rewriting certain paragraphs of the specification on separate pages.

The Examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell in view of Easley. The examiner states that "McConnell teaches a system for duplicating keys including a basic key reader 14 (correct) and a sliding bar reader 32 for touching and determining the location, depths and spaces of wafers within a lock (correct) and reading information 80, 82 in an opening 30 of the head 16 of the reader (correct)." The examiner continues to state that "the free end thereof at numeral 36 in Fig. 1 defines a knob for the bar reader." (not correct). The numeral 36 in McConnell identifies a thickness of the feeler 32 wherein the total of thickness of the feeler fits within the key hole of the cylinder lock 12. Therefore, the free end 36 of the reader 32 in Fig. 1 does not define a knob. It is pointed out to the examiner that claim 1 does not recite a "knob" at all. Therefore, the rejection of this claim 1 is not understood. The examiner continues to modify the McConnell reference by stating that "Easley teaches providing a bend 22a, 22b for a bar reader to define a knob 28a, 28a (sic) 28b. Again no knob has been claimed in claim 1. For argument's sake, the wires 22a and 22b in Easley do not provide a bend, as is claimed, and the pointer portions 28a and 28b do not define a knob. As a matter of fact, there are two pointer portions but applicant is claiming only one knob.